arXiv:0802.1081v1 [math.CV] 8 Feb 2008

# Ahlfors' currents in higher dimension

Henry de Thélin

**Abstract**

We consider a nondegenerate holomorphic map $f : V \mapsto X$ where $(X, \omega)$ is a compact hermitian manifold of dimension higher or equal to $k$ and $V$ is an open connected complex manifold of dimension $k$. In this article we give criteria which permit to construct Ahlfors' currents in $X$.

Key words: currents, entire curves.
AMS: 32A15, 32U40.

## Introduction

Let $f : V \mapsto X$ be a nondegenerate holomorphic map between an open connected complex manifold $V$ (non-compact) of dimension $k$ and a compact hermitian manifold $(X, \omega)$ of dimension higher or equal to $k$. We consider an exhaustion function $\tau$ on $V$. It means that (see [13]):
(i) $\tau : V \mapsto [0, +\infty[$ is $C^1$.
(ii) $\tau$ is proper (i.e. $\tau^{-1}(\text{compact}) = \text{compact}$).
(iii) There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\tau$ has only isolated critical points in $\tau^{-1}([r_0, +\infty[)$.
In this article we will employ the notation $V(r) = \tau^{-1}([0, r[)$.
The first important example is $V = \mathbb{C}^k$ and $\tau = \|z\|^2$. When $k = 1$ we are studying entire cuves in $X$. An another example is for $V$ a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^k$. If $\tau_0$ is its exhaustion function, we can easily transform $\tau_0$ into a function $\tau$ which satisfies the previous hypothesis (see [10] p. 63-65).
The goal of this article is to construct Ahlfors' currents in $X$ with $V$ and $f$. By definition, a Ahlfors' current is a limit of a sequence like $\frac{f_*[V(r_n)]}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))}$ which is a **closed** positive current of bidimension $(k, k)$ (here $r_n \to +\infty$ and all the volumes in this article are counted with multiplicity). When $V = \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau = \|z\|^2$, M. McQuillan constructed such currents in [9] (see [1] too). These currents are fundamental tools in the study of the hyperbolicity of $X$ (see for example [5]). When the dimension of $V$ is higher or equal to 2 it is not always possible to produce Ahlfors' currents. Indeed, for example, there exists domains $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ which are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ and such that $\overline{\Omega} \neq \mathbb{C}^2$ (Fatou-Bieberbach domains). As a consequence, to produce Ahlfors' currents it is necessary to add a hypothesis on $f$.

When the dimension of $X$ is equal to $k$, there exists some criteria which imply that $f(V)$ is dense in $X$ (see [3], [12], [13], [7], [6], [2] and [11]). These criteria used the degrees of $f$ (see [3]) or the growth of the function $f$.

Our goal is to give criteria which use these degrees in order to produce Ahlfors' currents in $X$. Of course, in the case where the dimension of $X$ is equal to $k$, the existence of such currents will automatically imply that $f(V)$ is dense in $X$. Indeed, $[X]$ is the only positive closed current of bidimension $(k,k)$ in $X$ (modulo a normalization).

In this article, we will use the following degrees ($t_{k-1}$ will be slightly different from the Chern's one):

$$t_k(r) = \int_{V(r)} f^*\omega^k,$$

$$t_{k-1}(r) = \int_{V(r)} i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of critical values of $\tau$ in $[r_0, +\infty[$. V is connected and non-compact so we can suppose that $[r_0, +\infty[\subset \tau(V)$.

The criteria that we will give on $t_k$ and $t_{k-1}$ will strongly use the following inequality:

**Theorem 1.** *The functions $t_k$ and $t_{k-1}$ are $C^1$ on $]r_0, +\infty[\backslash\mathcal{C}$ and $C^0$ on $]r_0, +\infty[$. If $r \in ]r_0, +\infty[\backslash\mathcal{C}$ then*

$$\|\partial f_*[V(r)]\|^2 \leqslant K(X) t'_{k-1}(r) t'_k(r).$$

*Here $\|.\|$ is the norm in the sense of currents and $K(X)$ is a constant which depends only on $X$.*

By using the previous inequality we can prove some criteria which imply the existence of Ahlfors' currents. Here we give the two following ones:

**Theorem 2.** *We suppose that $f$ is nondegenerate and of finite type (i.e. there exists $C_1$, $C_2$, $r_1 > 0$ such that volume$(f(V(r))) \leqslant C_1 r^{C_2}$ for $r \geqslant r_1$).*
*If*

$$\limsup_{r\to+\infty} \frac{t_{k-1}(r)}{r^2 t_k(r)} = 0$$

*then there exists a sequence $r_n$ which goes to infinity such that $\frac{f_*[V(r_n)]}{volume(f(V(r_n)))}$ converges to a closed positive current with bidimension $(k,k)$ and mass equal to* 1.

When $V = \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau = \|z\|^2$, the hypothesis of finite type is true modulo a Brody's renormalization (see for example [8]).

We give now one criterion which doesn't use this hypothesis.

**Theorem 3.** *If $f$ is nondegenerate and if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that:*

$$\limsup_{r \notin \mathcal{C},\ r \to +\infty} \frac{t'_{k-1}(r)}{r t_k^{1-\varepsilon}(r)} \leqslant L$$

*then there exists a sequence $r_n$ which goes to infinity such that $\frac{f_*[V(r_n)]}{volume(f(V(r_n)))}$ converges to a closed positive current with bidimension $(k,k)$ and mass equal to* 1.

The plan of this article is the following one: in the first part we prove the inequality (theorem 1), in the second one we give the proof of the both criteria (theorems 2 and 3). In the third part, we will give a new formulation of the criteria for the particular case where $V = \mathbb{C}^k$.

# 1 Proof of the inequality

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of critical values of $\tau$ in $[r_0, +\infty[$. We recall that we can suppose $[r_0, +\infty[ \subset \tau(V)$. Notice that the point (iii) of the hypothesis on $\tau$ implies that $\mathcal{C}$ is discrete. When $r \in ]r_0, +\infty[$ and $r \notin \mathcal{C}$ then $\tau : \tau^{-1}(]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[) \mapsto ]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[$ is a submersion for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. In particular, $\tau^{-1}(r)$ is a submanifold of $V$ and $\partial V(r) = \tau^{-1}(r)$. When $r \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\tau^{-1}(r)$ is a compact set which is a submanifold of $V$ outside a neighbourhood of a finite number of points.
We begin now with the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *The functions $t_k$ and $t_{k-1}$ are $C^1$ on $]r_0, +\infty[\backslash \mathcal{C}$ and $C^0$ on $]r_0, +\infty[$.*

*Proof.* The form $f^*\omega^k$ is positive and smooth and $i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1}$ is positive and continuous ($\tau$ is $C^1$) so it is enough to show that $t(r) = \int_{V(r)} \Phi$ is $C^1$ on $]r_0, +\infty[\backslash \mathcal{C}$ and $C^0$ on $]r_0, +\infty[$ with $\Phi$ a positive continuous form of bidegree $(k,k)$.
We take $r \in ]r_0, +\infty[\backslash \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\tau : \tau^{-1}(]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[) \mapsto ]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[$ is a submersion. Now, if $r' \in ]r-\varepsilon, r[$, we have:

$$\frac{t(r) - t(r')}{r - r'} = \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{\tau^{-1}([r', r[)} \Phi = \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{[r', r[} \tau_* \Phi.$$

The form $\tau_*\Phi$ is continuous so it is equal to $\alpha(s)ds$ with $\alpha$ in $C^0(]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[)$. We obtain:

$$\frac{t(r) - t(r')}{r - r'} = \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{r'}^{r} \alpha(s) ds$$

which converges to $\alpha(r)$ when $r' \to r$. The same thing happens when we consider $r' \in ]r, r+\varepsilon[$, so the function $t$ is differentiable at $r$ and $t'(r) = \alpha(r)$. In particular $t$ is $C^1$ on $]r_0, +\infty[\backslash \mathcal{C}$.
*Remark* 1. Notice that here we did not use that $\Phi$ is positive. We will use this remark in the proof of the theorem 1.

Now, consider $r \in \mathcal{C}$. If we take $\varepsilon > 0$, then we can find two neighbourhoods $W_\varepsilon \Subset W_{2\varepsilon}$ of the (finite) number of the critical points in $\{\tau = r\}$ such that $\int_{W_{2\varepsilon}} \Phi \leqslant \varepsilon$ (because $\Phi$ is continuous). Now, let $\psi$ be a $C^\infty$ function which is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of $\overline{W_\varepsilon}$ and to 0 outside $W_{2\varepsilon}$ ($0 \leqslant \psi \leqslant 1$). Then, if $r' < r$,

$$t(r) - t(r') = \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} \psi\Phi + \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} (1-\psi)\Phi \leqslant \varepsilon + \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} (1-\psi)\Phi.$$

If $\alpha > 0$ is small then $\tau$ is a submersion on $\tau^{-1}(]r-\alpha, r+\alpha[) \cap (V \setminus W_\varepsilon)$. In particular the function

$$r' \mapsto \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} (1-\psi)\Phi = \int_{r'}^{r} \tau_*((1-\psi)\Phi)$$

goes to 0 when $r' \to r$. The same thing happens when we take $r' > r$. As a consequence, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|r - r'| < \delta$ then $|t(r) - t(r')| \leqslant 2\varepsilon$, i.e. $t$ is continuous at $r$.

□

We give now the proof of the theorem 1.
We take $r \in ]r_0, +\infty[\setminus\mathcal{C}$. We have:

$$\|\partial f_*[V(r)]\| = \sup_{\Psi \in \mathcal{F}(k-1,k)} |\langle \partial f_*[V(r)], \Psi\rangle|$$

where $\mathcal{F}(k-1,k)$ is the set of smooth $(k-1,k)$ forms $\Psi$ with $\|\Psi\| \leqslant 1$. If $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}(k-1,k)$ then we can write

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{K(X)} \theta_i \wedge \Omega_i$$

where $K(X)$ is a constant which depends only on $X$, the $\theta_i$ are smooth forms of bidegree $(0,1)$ with $\|\theta_i\| \leqslant 1$ and the $\Omega_i$ are (strongly) positive smooth forms of bidegree $(k-1,k-1)$ with $\|\Omega_i\| \leqslant K(X)$. So, to prove the inequality it is sufficient to bound from above $|\langle \partial f_*[V(r)], \theta \wedge \Omega\rangle|^2$ by $K(X) t'_{k-1}(r) t'_k(r)$ with $\theta$ a smooth form of bidegree $(0,1)$ with $\|\theta\| \leqslant 1$ and $\Omega$ a positive smooth form of bidegree $(k-1,k-1)$ with $\|\Omega\| \leqslant 1$.
If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small then $\tau : \tau^{-1}(]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[) \mapsto ]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[$ is a submersion. Now, if we take $r' \in ]r-\varepsilon, r[$, we have:

$$A(r', r) := \left| \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{r'}^{r} \langle \partial f_*[V(s)], \theta \wedge \Omega\rangle ds \right| = \left| \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{r'}^{r} \langle \partial[V(s)], f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega\rangle ds \right|.$$

If we use the Stokes' theorem, we have:

$$A(r', r) = \left| \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{r'}^{r} \langle [\partial V(s)], f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega\rangle ds \right| = \left| \frac{1}{r - r'} \int_{r'}^{r} \langle [\tau = s], f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega\rangle \, ds \right|,$$

because for $s \in ]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[$ the boundary of $V(s)$ is $\{\tau = s\}$.
We obtain:

$$A(r', r) = \left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{r'}^{r} \left( \int_{\tau=s} f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega \right) ds \right| .$$

Now $\tau : \tau^{-1}(]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[) \mapsto ]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[$ is a submersion, so by using Fubini's theorem (see [4] p. 334), we have:

$$A(r', r) = \left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} d\tau \wedge f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega \right| = \left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} \partial\tau \wedge f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega \right| .$$

Now, if we consider,

$$\{\phi, \psi\} := \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} i\phi \wedge \overline{\psi} \wedge f^*\Omega$$

where $\phi$ and $\psi$ are continuous forms of bidegree $(1,0)$, then $\{\phi, \phi\} \geqslant 0$ (because $\Omega$ is positive) and so by using the proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality we obtain that:

$$|\{\phi, \psi\}| \leqslant (\{\phi, \phi\})^{1/2} (\{\psi, \psi\})^{1/2}.$$

In particular,

$$A(r', r)^2 \leqslant \left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\Omega \right| \times \left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} i\overline{f^*\theta} \wedge f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega \right| .$$

Now $i\overline{f^*\theta} \wedge f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega$ is equal to $f^*(i\overline{\theta} \wedge \theta \wedge \Omega)$ and $i\overline{\theta} \wedge \theta \wedge \Omega \leqslant K'(X)\omega^k$ (which means that $K'(X)\omega^k - i\overline{\theta} \wedge \theta \wedge \Omega$ is a (strongly) positive form). Here $K'(X)$ depends only on $X$ because $\|\theta\| \leqslant 1$ and $\|\Omega\| \leqslant 1$.
As a consequence, we have:

$$\left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} i\overline{f^*\theta} \wedge f^*\theta \wedge f^*\Omega \right| \leqslant K'(X) \left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} f^*\omega^k \right| = K'(X) \left( \frac{t_k(r) - t_k(r')}{r-r'} \right) .$$

On the other hand, there exists a constant $K''(X)$ with $\Omega \leqslant K''(X)\omega^{k-1}$ (we use $\|\Omega\| \leqslant 1$).
So, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{r-r'} \int_{V(r)\setminus V(r')} i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\Omega \right| \leqslant K''(X) \left( \frac{t_{k-1}(r) - t_{k-1}(r')}{r-r'} \right) .$$

We obtain:

$$A(r',r)^2 \leqslant K(X)\left(\frac{t_{k-1}(r)-t_{k-1}(r')}{r-r'}\right)\left(\frac{t_k(r)-t_k(r')}{r-r'}\right). \tag{1}$$

Now, when $r' \to r$

$$A(r',r)^2 \to |\langle \partial f_*[V(r)], \theta\wedge\Omega\rangle|^2$$

because the function $s \mapsto \langle \partial f_*[V(s)], \theta\wedge\Omega\rangle = \int_{V(s)} \partial f^*(\theta\wedge\Omega)$ is continuous on $]r-\varepsilon, r+\varepsilon[$ (see remark 1).
Finally, if we take $r' \to r$ in the inequality (1), we have:

$$|\langle \partial f_*[V(r)], \theta\wedge\Omega\rangle|^2 \leqslant K(X)t'_{k-1}(r)t'_k(r)$$

which gives the wanted inequality.

# 2 Proof of the theorems 2 and 3

## 2.1 Proof of the first criterion

We begin with this lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *If $f$ is nondegenerate and of finite type then there exits a constant $K>0$ such that:*

$$\forall r_2>0\ \exists r \geqslant r_2 \textit{ with } \mathit{volume}(f(V(2r))) \leqslant K\mathit{volume}(f(V(r))).$$

*Proof.* The hypothesis implies that there exists $C_1$, $C_2$, $r_1>0$ such that volume$(f(V(r))) \leqslant C_1 r^{C_2}$ for $r \geqslant r_1$.
If the conclusion of the lemma is false then for all $K>0$ there exists $r_2>0$ such that for all $r \geqslant r_2$ we have volume$(f(V(2r))) \geqslant K$volume$(f(V(r)))$.
So, if we take $K >> 2^{C_2}$ then we obtain (if $l$ is high enough):

$$C_1(2^l r_2)^{C_2} \geqslant \text{volume}(f(V(2^l r_2))) \geqslant K^l \text{volume}(f(V(r_2))).$$

As a consequence we have

$$\text{volume}(f(V(r_2))) \leqslant C_1 r_2^{C_2}\left(\frac{2^{C_2}}{K}\right)^l$$

which implies that volume$(f(V(r_2)))=0$ when we take $l \to \infty$. It contradicts the fact that $f$ is nondegenerate.

□

By using this lemma, we can find a sequence $R_n \to +\infty$ which satisfies

$$\text{volume}(f(V(2R_n))) \leqslant K\text{volume}(f(V(R_n))).$$

The theorem 1 gives now that:

$$\int_{R_n}^{2R_n} \|\partial f_*[V(r)]\| dr \leqslant K(X) \int_{R_n}^{2R_n} \sqrt{t'_{k-1}(r)}\sqrt{t'_k(r)} dr.$$

We use the following sense to the integrals: for example, if there is one point $a_n$ of $\mathcal{C}$ in $[R_n, 2R_n]$, we consider $\int_{R_n}^{2R_n} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{[R_n, a_n-\varepsilon]\cup[a_n+\varepsilon, 2R_n]}$. All the functions that we consider are non negative, so the limit exists in $[0, +\infty]$.
Now, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the last integral is smaller than

$$K(X)\left(\int_{R_n}^{2R_n} t'_{k-1}(r)dr\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{R_n}^{2R_n} t'_k(r)dr\right)^{1/2} \leqslant K(X)\sqrt{t_{k-1}(2R_n)}\sqrt{t_k(2R_n)}.$$

For the last inequality it is important to use that $t_{k-1}$ and $t_k$ are continuous on $]r_0, +\infty[$ (see theorem 1).
It implies that there exists a sequence $r_n \in [R_n, 2R_n]$ such that:

$$\|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\| \leqslant \frac{K(X)}{R_n}\sqrt{t_{k-1}(2R_n)}\sqrt{t_k(2R_n)},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\|}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))} \leqslant 2K(X)\sqrt{\frac{t_{k-1}(2R_n)}{(2R_n)^2 t_k(2R_n)}\frac{t_k(2R_n)}{t_k(r_n)}}$$

because $\text{volume}(f(V(r_n))) = t_k(r_n)$.
Now, we have

$$\frac{t_k(2R_n)}{t_k(r_n)} \leqslant \frac{t_k(2R_n)}{t_k(R_n)} \leqslant K$$

and by using the hypothesis,

$$\sqrt{\frac{t_{k-1}(2R_n)}{(2R_n)^2 t_k(2R_n)}} \to 0.$$

So, we obtain that

$$\frac{\|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\|}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))} \to 0.$$

The current $T_n := \frac{f_*[V(r_n)]}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))}$ is positive with bidimension $(k,k)$ and mass equal to 1, so there exits a subsequence of $(T_n)$ which converges to a positive current $T$ with bidimension $(k,k)$ and mass 1. Moreover,

$$\|\partial T_n\| = \frac{\|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\|}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))} \to 0,$$

so the limit current $T$ is closed. It proves the first criterion.

## 2.2 Proof of the second criterion

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \notin \mathcal{C},\ r \to +\infty} \frac{t'_{k-1}(r)}{r t_k(r)^{1-\varepsilon}} \leqslant L.$$

Let $R_n$ be a sequence of positive reals which goes to $+\infty$. By using the theorem 1, we have (see the proof of the last criterion for the definition of the integrals):

$$\int_{r_0+1}^{R_n} \frac{\|\partial f_*[V(r)]\|^2}{t'_{k-1}(r) t_k(r)^{1+\varepsilon}} dr \leqslant K(X) \int_{r_0+1}^{R_n} \frac{t'_k(r)}{t_k(r)^{1+\varepsilon}} dr.$$

This last integral is smaller than $\frac{K(X)}{\varepsilon t_k(r_0+1)^{\varepsilon}} \leqslant K'(X,f)$ (here we use the fact that $\frac{1}{t_k(r)}$ is continuous on $]r_0, +\infty[$).
So, we have

$$\int_{r_0+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{r \|\partial f_*[V(r)]\|^2}{t'_{k-1}(r) t_k(r)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right) dr \leqslant K'(X,f),$$

and $\int_{r_0+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r} dr = +\infty$ implies that there exits a sequence $r_n \to +\infty$ such that $r_n \notin \mathcal{C}$ and:

$$\varepsilon(n) := \frac{r_n \|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\|^2}{t'_{k-1}(r_n) t_k(r_n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \to 0.$$

We obtain

$$\left( \frac{\|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\|}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))} \right)^2 = \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{r_n} \frac{t'_{k-1}(r_n)}{t_k(r_n)^{1-\varepsilon}} \leqslant (L+1)\varepsilon(n),$$

by hypothesis (for $n$ high enough).
So,

$$\frac{\|\partial f_*[V(r_n)]\|}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))} \to 0.$$

Now, by using exactly the same argument than in the proof of the previous criterion, we obtain that there exists a subsequence of $T_n := \frac{f_*[V(r_n)]}{\text{volume}(f(V(r_n)))}$ which converges to a closed positive current of bidimension $(k,k)$ and with mass equal to 1.

## 3 The particular case $V = \mathbb{C}^k$

In this paragraph we consider the particular case where $V = \mathbb{C}^k$.
Let $\beta$ be the standard kähler form in $\mathbb{C}^k$. We want to transform our previous criteria by using $\beta$ instead of $i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau}$. More precisely, we consider:

$$a_k(r) = \int_{B(0,r)} f^*\omega^k$$

and

$$a_{k-1}(r) = \int_{B(0,r)} \beta \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1}.$$

Then we can prove a new formulation of our three theorems:

**Theorem 4.** *The functions $a_k$ and $a_{k-1}$ are $C^1$ on $]0,+\infty[$ and for $r > 0$ we have*

$$\|\partial f_*[B(0,r)]\|^2 \leqslant K(X) a'_{k-1}(r) a'_k(r).$$

*Here $\|.\|$ is the norm in the sense of currents and $K(X)$ is a constant which depends only on $X$.*

*Proof.* We apply the theorem 1 with $V = \mathbb{C}^k$ and $\tau = \|z\|^2$ (here we have $\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$) and then for $r > 0$:

$$\|\partial f_*[V(r^2)]\|^2 \leqslant K'(X) t'_{k-1}(r^2) t'_k(r^2).$$

Now, $a_k(r) = t_k(r^2)$, so $a_k$ is $C^1$ in $]0,+\infty[$ and

$$t'_k(r^2) = \frac{a'_k(r)}{2r}.$$

The function $a_{k-1}(r) = t(r^2)$ with $t(r) = \int_{V(r)} \beta \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1}$ so $a_{k-1}$ is $C^1$ in $]0,+\infty[$ (see the proof of the lemma 1).
Moreover,

$$t_{k-1}(r^2) = \int_{V(r^2)} i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1} = \int_{B(0,r)} i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1},$$

and $i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} = i\sum_{i,j} \overline{z_i} z_j dz_i \wedge d\overline{z_j}$.
On $B(0,r)$ this last form is smaller than $K(k)\beta r^2$.

If we take $0 < r' < r$ then

$$t_{k-1}(r^2) - t_{k-1}(r'^2) = \int_{B(0,r)\setminus B(0,r')} i\partial\tau \wedge \overline{\partial\tau} \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1} \leqslant K(k)r^2 \int_{B(0,r)\setminus B(0,r')} \beta \wedge f^*\omega^{k-1}.$$

If we divide by $r - r'$ and if we take the limit $r' \to r$ then we obtain:

$$2rt'_{k-1}(r^2) \leqslant K(k)r^2 a'_{k-1}(r).$$

Finally, we have:

$$\|\partial f_*[B(0,r)]\|^2 = \|\partial f_*[V(r^2)]\|^2 \leqslant K'(X)t'_{k-1}(r^2)t'_k(r^2) \leqslant K(X)a'_{k-1}(r)a'_k(r),$$

with $K(X) = K(k)K'(X)$ (we recall that the dimension of $X$ is higher or equal to $k$). This is the inequality that we wanted.

$\square$

Now if we remplace in the proof of the theorems 2 and 3 the function $t_{k-1}$ by $a_{k-1}$, the function $t_k$ by $a_k$ and $V(r)$ by $B(0,r)$ then we obtain the two following criteria:

**Theorem 5.** *We suppose that $f$ is nondegenerate and with finite type (i.e. there exists $C_1$, $C_2$, $r_1 > 0$ such that volume$(f(B(0,r))) \leqslant C_1 r^{C_2}$ for $r \geqslant r_1$).*
*If*

$$\limsup_{r\to+\infty} \frac{a_{k-1}(r)}{r^2 a_k(r)} = 0$$

*then there exists a sequence $r_n$ which goes to infinity such that $\frac{f_*[B(0,r_n)]}{volume(f(B(0,r_n)))}$ converges to a closed positive current with bidimension $(k,k)$ and mass equal to $1$.*

**Theorem 6.** *If $f$ is nondegenerate and if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that:*

$$\limsup_{r\to+\infty} \frac{a'_{k-1}(r)}{r a_k^{1-\varepsilon}(r)} \leqslant L$$

*then there exists a sequence $r_n$ which goes to infinity such that $\frac{f_*[B(0,r_n)]}{volume(f(B(0,r_n)))}$ converges to a closed positive current with bidimension $(k,k)$ and mass equal to $1$.*

Notice that when $k = 1$ then $a_{k-1}(r) = \pi r^2$ and so, in this context, the hypothesis of this criterion is always true if $f$ is nondegenerate.

## References

[1] M. Brunella, *Courbes entières et feuilletages holomorphes*, Enseign. Math., **45** (1999), 195-216.

[2] J.A. Carlson and P. Griffiths, *The order functions for entire holomorphic mappings*, Proc. Tulane Univ. Program, (1974), 225-248.

[3] S.-S. Chern, *The integrated form of the first main theorem for complex analytic mappings in several complex variables*, Ann. of Math. (2), **71** (1960), 536-551.

[4] E.M. Chirka, *Complex analytic sets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1989).

[5] J. Duval, *Singularités des courants d'Ahlfors*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., **39** (2006), 527-533.

[6] P. Griffiths, *Some remarks on Nevanlinna theory*, Proc. Tulane Univ. Program, (1974), 1-11.

[7] J.J. Hirschfelder, *The first main theorem of value distribution in several variables*, Invent. Math., **8** (1969), 1-33.

[8] S. Lang, *Introduction to complex hyperbolic spaces*, Springer-Verlag (1987).

[9] M. McQuillan, *Diophantine approximations and foliations*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., **87** (1998), 121-174.

[10] R.M. Range, *Holomorphic functions and integral representations in several complex variables*, Springer-Verlag (1986).

[11] N. Sibony and P.M. Wong, *Some remarks on the Casorati-Weierstrass theorem*, Ann. Polon. Math., **39** (1981), 165-174.

[12] W. Stoll, *A general first main theorem of value distribution*, Acta Math., **118** (1967), 111-191.

[13] H. Wu, *Remarks on the first main theorem in equidistribution theory II*, J. Differential Geometry, **2** (1968), 369-384.

Henry de Thélin
Université Paris-Sud (Paris 11)
Mathématique, Bât. 425
91405 Orsay
France